FEB 13 1989



EXPRESS MAIL B56727650 RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. H. Gilbert Weil Union Carbide Corporation P.O. Box 670 Bound Brook, New Jersey 08805

Re: SCP-Carlstadt Site, Administrative Orders Index Nos. II-CERCLA-50114 and II-CERCLA-60102 ("the Orders")

Dear Mr. Weil:

I am writing to express EPA's concern about Respondents' performance of the Feasibility Study (FS) pursuant to the above-referenced Administrative Orders.

Specifically, I would like to bring to your attention the fact that your consultant, ERM, is currently proceeding with Treatability Studies without EPA's approval of the plans for these studies. After several inadequate submissions of treatability study plans and/or addendums, and lengthy discussion of EPA comments regarding those plans at our meeting on January 23, 1989, revised plans addressing EPA comments still have not been submitted to the Agency.

As you are aware, James Schmidtberger, of my staff, spent two full days meeting with ERM at their offices on February 1 and 2, 1989. The purpose of these meetings was to provide technical assistance regarding the treatability study plans, and for EPA to ensure that all of our comments had been addressed in the final document. However, it was found that in fact, many of EPA's comments had still not been addressed. The plan for treatability studies still contained major inconsistencies, and some of the tables regarding analyses were in direct conflict with EPA comments.

In your letter to Janet Feldstein, dated January 24, 1989, you indicated that the studies would commence on the assumption that the comments provided by EPA would all be addressed in a final plan. EPA has informed you several times that commencement of these studies without prior EPA approval of plans was completely at Respondents' own risk. However, based on the plans EPA reviewed last week at ERM's offices, it appears as though Respondents have not complied with the representations made to EPA.



<b>X</b> -		0 (	3961

Although the treatability studies are apparently all underway, EPA has not yet even received any document which adequately describes how these studies will be conducted. I sincerely hope that the studies are, in fact, being conducted in accordance with EPA comments on the original plans.

Please ensure that the treatability study plans are revised in accordance with all of EPA's comments and submitted to this office by no later than February 17, 1989.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Janet Feldstein, of my staff, at (212) 264-0613.

Sincerely yours,

Raymond Basso, Chief New Jersey Compliance Branch

cc: Tom Armstrong, General Electric William L. Warren, Esq. Pamela Lange, NJDEP

bcc: J. Rooney, ORC-NJSUP
J. Schmidtberger, NJCB
R. Schwarz, NJRAB

SENDER: Complete items 1 and 2 when additional stand 4.  Put your address in the "RETURN TO" Space on the reversal from being returned to you. The return receipt feed delivered to and the date of delivery. For additional fees to postmaster for fees and check box(ee) for additional service(	rse side. Failure to do this will prevent this will provide you the name of the person	
postmaster for fees and check box(es) for additional service(  1. Show to whom delivered, date, and addressee's address   †(Extra charge)†	s) requested. s. 2.  Restricted Delivery †(Extra charge)†	2000
3. Article Addressed to:	4. Article Number 856727650	
MR. H. GILBERT WEIL UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION P.O. BOX 670	Type of Service:    Registered   Insured     Certified   COD     Express Mail	
BOUND BROOK, NEW JERSEY 08805	Always obtain signature of addressee or agent and DATE DELIVERED	
5. Signature – Addressee	8. Addressee's Address (ONLY if requested and fee paid)	
6. Signature - Agent	P (cf	
7. Date of Delivery 2.14.89		
PS Form 3811, Mar. 1987 * U.S.G.P.O. 1987-178-268	DOMESTIC RETURN RECEIPT	